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## The Blockcipher Present

Present [2] was proposed by Bogdanov et al. at CHES 2007.


Where $S$ is the 4-bit S -Box and P a permutation of bit positions.
We define reduced round variants and denote them by Present-Ks-Nr.

## Prior DC on Reduced Round Versions

Differential characteristics and two round filter function available in [3].

## Differential Cryptanalysis I



## Differential Cryptanalysis II

Key Recovery:
■ backward key guessing to recover subkey bits of last rounds not covered by characteristic

- right pairs suggest correct and wrong key bits
- wrong pairs suggest random key bits
- filter functions used to remove wrong pairs
- candidate key arrays to count suggestions and observe peak

Differential Cryptanalysis of 16 -round DES [1]

- distinguishes right pairs,

■ uses outer round active S-Boxes to recover key bits and
■ does not rely on candidate key arrays.

## Algebraic Cryptanalysis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2} x_{3}+y_{3} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3}, \\
& y_{0} x_{3}+y_{3} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}+\ldots, \\
& x_{1} x_{2}+y_{3}+x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{3} \\
& x_{0} x_{2}+y_{3} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}+\ldots \\
& y_{3} x_{2}+y_{3} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3}+y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{3} \ldots \\
& y_{0} x_{2}+y_{1} x_{2}+y_{1} x_{3}+y_{3} x_{3}+\ldots \\
& x_{0} x_{1}+y_{3} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}+\ldots \\
& y_{3} x_{1}+y_{3} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}+\ldots, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

We call $X_{i, j}$ and $Y_{i, j}$ the input resp. output variable for the $j$-th bit of the $i$-th S-Box application (i.e. round).

For example, for Present-80-31 we have a system of 4172 variables in 13642 equations.

## Multiple $P-C$ Pairs I

- Given two equation systems $F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$ for two plaintext-ciphertext pairs $\left(P^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(P^{\prime \prime}, C^{\prime \prime}\right)$ under same encryption key $K$.
- We can combine these equation systems to form a system $F=F^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime \prime}$.
- While $F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$ do not share most of the state variables $X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}, Y^{\prime}, Y^{\prime \prime}$ but they share the key $K$ and key schedule variables $K_{i}$.
- Thus by considering two plaintext-ciphertext pairs the cryptanalyst gathers twice as many equations, involving however many new variables.


## Multiple $P$ - C Pairs II



## Outline

1 Introduction

2 Our Contribution

3 Experimental Resuls

4 Discussion


■ Each one-round difference gives rise to equations relating the input and output pairs for active S-Boxes.

- We have that the expressions

$$
X_{j, k}^{\prime}+X_{j, k}^{\prime \prime}=\Delta X_{j, k} \rightarrow \Delta Y_{j, k}=Y_{j, k}^{\prime}+Y_{j, k}^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $\Delta X_{j, k}, \Delta Y_{j, k}$ are known values predicted by the characteristic, are valid with some non-negligible probability $p_{j, k}$.

- For non-active S-Boxes we have the relations

$$
X_{j, k}^{\prime}+X_{j, k}^{\prime \prime}=0=Y_{j, k}^{\prime}+Y_{j, k}^{\prime \prime}
$$

also valid with a non-negligible probability.
These are $2 n$ linear equations per round we can add to our equation system $F$. The resulting system $\bar{F}$ is expected to be easier to solve but we need to solve $1 / \operatorname{Pr}(\Delta)$ such systems.

## Attack-B I

Restrict the first round bits to one active S-Box and assume we have a right pair. The S-Box can be written as a vectorial Boolean function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}\left(X_{i, 0}, \ldots, X_{i, n-1}\right) \\
S\left(X_{i}\right)= & \ldots \\
& f_{n-1}\left(X_{i, 0}, \ldots, X_{i, n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



If $P^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}, C^{\prime \prime}$ is a right pair, we have
$\square S\left(P^{\prime} \oplus K_{0}\right)=S\left(X_{1}^{\prime}\right)=Y_{1}^{\prime}$
■ $S\left(P^{\prime \prime} \oplus K_{0}\right)=S\left(X_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=Y_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$
■ $Y_{1}^{\prime} \oplus Y_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\Delta Y_{1}$
$\rightarrow S\left(P_{1}^{\prime} \oplus K_{0}\right) \oplus S\left(P_{1}^{\prime \prime} \oplus K_{0}\right)=\Delta Y_{1}$

## Attack-B II

We can use this small equation system $F_{s}$ to recover bits of information about the subkey. Specifically:

## Lemma

Given a differential characteristic $\Delta$ with a first round active S-Box with a difference that is true with probability $2^{-b}$, then by considering $F_{s}$ we can recover b bits of information about the key from this S-Box.

This is the algebraic equivalent of the well known subkey bit recovery from outer rounds in differential cryptanalysis.

In the case of Present and Wang's differentials we can learn 4-bit of information per characteristic $\Delta$.

## Attack-B III

## Experimental Observation

For some ciphers Attack-A can be used to distinguish right pairs and thus enables this attack.

Attack-B proceeds by measuring the time $t$ it maximally takes to find that the system is inconsistent and assume we have a right pair if this time $t$ elapsed without a contradiction.

Alternatively, we may measure other features of a Gröbner basis computation (degree reached, matrix dimensions, ...).

## Attack-B IV

| $N_{r}$ | $K_{s}$ | $r$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(\Delta)$ | Singular | POLYBORI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 80 | 3 | $2^{-12}$ | $106.55-118.15$ | $6.18-7.10$ |
| 4 | 80 | 2 | $2^{-8}$ | $119.24-128.49$ | $5.94-13.30$ |
| 4 | 80 | 1 | $2^{-4}$ | $137.84-144.37$ | $11.83-33.47$ |
| 16 | 80 | 14 | $2^{-62}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $43.42-64.11$ |
| 16 | 128 | 14 | $2^{-62}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $45.59-65.03$ |
| 16 | 80 | 13 | $2^{-58}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $80.35-262.73$ |
| 16 | 128 | 13 | $2^{-58}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $81.06-320.53$ |
| 16 | 80 | 12 | $2^{-52}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $>4$ hours |
| 17 | 80 | 14 | $2^{-62}$ | $12,317.49-13,201.99$ | $55.51-221.77$ |
| 17 | 128 | 14 | $2^{-62}$ | $12,031.97-13,631.52$ | $94.19-172.46$ |
| 17 | 80 | 13 | $2^{-58}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $>4$ hours |

Table: Times in seconds for Attack-B
Times obtained on William Stein's sage.math.washington. edu computer purchased under NSF Grant No. 0555776.
221.77 s

## $\approx 6.626$

 33.47 s


The algebraic computation is essentially equivalent to solving a related cipher of $2\left(N_{r}-r\right)$ rounds (from $C^{\prime}$ to $C^{\prime \prime}$ via the predicted difference $\delta_{r}$ ) with a symmetric key schedule, using an algebraic meet-in-the-middle attack.

## Attack-C III

In a Nutshell
Attack-C is an algebraic filter.

## Attack-C IV

| $N$ | $K_{s}$ | $r$ | $\operatorname{Pr}(\Delta)$ | Singular | POLYBORI | MINISAT2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 80 | 4 | $2^{-16}$ | $0.07-0.09$ | $0.05-0.06$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 4 | 80 | 3 | $2^{-12}$ | $6.69-6.79$ | $0.88-1.00$ | $0.14-0.18$ |
| 4 | 80 | 2 | $2^{-8}$ | $28.68-29.04$ | $2.16-5.07$ | $0.32-0.82$ |
| 4 | 80 | 1 | $2^{-4}$ | $70.95-76.08$ | $8.10-18.30$ | $1.21-286.40$ |
| 16 | 80 | 14 | $2^{-62}$ | $123.82-132.47$ | $2.38-5.99$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 16 | 128 | 14 | $2^{-62}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $2.38-5.15$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 16 | 80 | 13 | $2^{-58}$ | $301.70-319.90$ | $8.69-19.36$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 16 | 128 | 13 | $2^{-58}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $9.58-18.64$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 16 | 80 | 12 | $2^{-52}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $>4$ hours | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 17 | 80 | 14 | $2^{-62}$ | $318.53-341.84$ | $9.03-16.93$ | $0.70-58.96$ |
| 17 | 128 | 14 | $2^{-62}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $8.36-17.53$ | $0.52-8.87$ |
| 17 | 80 | 13 | $2^{-58}$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $>4$ hours | $>4$ hours |

Table: Times in seconds for Attack-C
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## 

- We ran Attack-C against Present-80-6 and Present-80-7;
- the algorithm always suggested some key bits after the expected number of runs;
- the algorithm did return false positives (as expected);
- however, a simple majority vote over three experiments, always gave the correct answer.

4 bits:

- Filter: $\approx 2^{62}$ ciphertext checks
- Algebraic Filter: $\approx 2^{11.93} \cdot 6 \cdot 1.8 \cdot 10^{9} \approx 2^{46} \mathrm{CPU}$ cycles

Full Key Recovery:

- Characteristics: 6 characteristics from [4]
- Filter: $\approx 6 \cdot 2^{62}$ ciphertext checks
- Algebraic Filter: $\approx 6 \cdot 2^{46} \mathrm{CPU}$ cycles
- Guess: $80-18=62$ bits


## PRESENT-128-19

Consider the input difference for round 15 and iterate over all possible output differences. For the example difference we have 36 possible output differences for round 15 and $2^{13.93}$ possible output difference for round 16 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { bits } \approx 2^{13.97} \cdot 1.8 \cdot 10^{9} \cdot\left(18 \cdot 2^{62}\right) \approx 2^{111} \mathrm{CPU} \text { cycles. } \\
& \text { full key } \approx 2^{13.97} \cdot 1.8 \cdot 10^{9} \cdot\left(18 \cdot 2^{62}+2 \cdot 6 \cdot 2^{64}\right) \approx 2^{116} \mathrm{CPU} \text { cycles. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Complexity Estimates

| Attack | $N_{r}$ | $K_{s}$ | $r$ | \#pairs | time | \#bits |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Wang | 16 | 80 | 14 | $2^{63}$ | $2^{65} \mathrm{MA}$ | 57 |
| Attack-C | 16 | 80 | 14 | $2^{62}$ | $2^{62} \mathrm{MA}$ | 4 |
| Attack-C | 16 | 80 | 14 | $6 \cdot 2^{62}$ | $2^{62}$ encr. | 18 |
| Attack-C | 19 | 128 | 14 | $2^{62}$ | $2^{111}$ cycles | 4 |
| Attack-C | 19 | 128 | 14 | $6 \cdot 2^{62}$ | $2^{116}$ cycles | 128 |
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## Discussion

## Properties:

■ One right pair is sufficient to learn some information about the key.
■ No requirement for candidate key counter.

- Silimar to DC attack on full DES [1] but in theory applicable to any block cipher.

Some open problems:
■ Is this idea applicable to other ciphers?

- How long would it take to solve the small cipher system in Attack-C after a right pair has been identified?
■ How about other techniques: linear cryptanalysis, saturation attacks, higher order differentials, ...
■ Can we do Present-128-20 with $r=14$ : "a situation without precedent" [2]?


## Conclusion

- We presented a new promising research direction: combining statistical and algebraic cryptanalysis instead of holding on to the "low data complexity dream" normally attached to algebraic cryptanalysis.

■ In particular, we presented a new approach which uses algebraic techniques in differential cryptanalysis and showed how to invest more time in the last rounds not covered by a differential using algebraic techniques.

- To illustrate the viability of the attack we applied it against round reduced variants of Present. Of course, this attack has no implication for the security of PRESENT!

Thank you!
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