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## Differential Cryptanalysis I

- Formally introduced by Eli Biham and Adi Shamir [4].
- Can be used to distinguish an $n$-bit block cipher.
- Considers the distribution of output differences for the non-linear components of the cipher (e.g. the S-Box).
- Constructs differential characteristics for a number of rounds $N$

$$
P^{\prime} \oplus P^{\prime \prime}=\Delta P \rightarrow \Delta C=C^{\prime} \oplus C^{\prime \prime}
$$

that are valid with probability $p$.

- If $p \gg 2^{-n}$ query the cipher with a large number of pairs with $\Delta P$.
- Distinguish the cipher by counting the number of pairs with $\Delta C$.
- A pair for which the characteristic holds is called a right pair.


## Differential Cryptanalysis II

One can use it to recover key information.

- Instead of characteristics for the full $N$-round cipher, consider characteristics valid for $r$ rounds only ( $r=N-R$, with $R>0$ ).
- Guess some key bits in last rounds, partially decrypt the known ciphertexts, and verify if the result matches the one predicted by the characteristic.
- Candidate (last round) keys are counted.
- Random noise is expected for wrong key guesses.
- Eventually a peak may be observed in the candidate key counters, pointing to the correct round key.


## Signal/Noise Ratio I

- The number of right pairs that are needed to distinguish the right candidate key depends on

1 the probability of the characteristic $p$,
2 the number $k$ of simultaneous subkey bits that are counted,
3 the average count $\alpha$ how many keys are suggested per analysed pair,
4 the fraction $\beta$ of the analysed pairs among all the pairs.

- If we are looking for $k$ subkey bits then we count the number of occurrences of $2^{k}$ possible key values in $2^{k}$ counters.
- The counters contain an average count of $\frac{m \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta}{2^{k}}$ counts were
- $m$ is the number of pairs,

■ $m \cdot \beta$ is the expected number of pairs to analyse and
■ $\alpha$ the number of suggested keys on average.

- Since suggestions are spread across $2^{k}$ counters, we divide by $2^{k}$.


## Signal/Noise Ratio II

- The right subkey value is counted $m \cdot p$ times by the right pairs, plus the random counts for all the possible subkeys.
- The signal to noise ration is therefore:

$$
S / N=\frac{m \cdot p}{m \cdot \alpha \cdot \beta / 2^{k}}=\frac{2^{k} \cdot p}{\alpha \cdot \beta} .
$$

In this work we aim to improve this ratio for a given cipher.

## Characteristics vs. Differentials

It would be sufficient to consider the probability $p$ of the differential - i.e. the sum of all $p_{i}$ for all characteristics with $\Delta P \rightarrow \Delta C$ - instead of the probability of the characteristic.

However, in practice authors often work with the probabilities of characteristics because it is easier to estimate their probabilities.

## Algebraic Techniques in Differential Cryptanalysis

- In [1] a combination of differential cryptanalysis with algebraic attacks against block ciphers was proposed.
- All three proposed techniques (Attack-A, Attack-B and Attack-C) require Gröbner basis computations during the online phase of the attack.
- This limitation prevented to apply the techniques to Present-80 reduced to more than 16 rounds because then the computation time would exceed exhaustive key search.

In this work we only perform Gröbner basis computations in a pre-computation (or offline) phase.
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## Ideal Membership as Implication I

- Consider an arbitrary function $f: \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{m}$ and its polynomial representation $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}$
- Let $x_{0}, \ldots x_{n-1}$ be the input variables and $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m-1}$ the output variables
- Consider the ideal $I=\left\langle f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}\right\rangle$ :
$\square$ Every member $g$ of this ideal is a combination of $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}$.
- If $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ vanish, so does $g$.
- This can be read as: $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ implies $g$.

$$
\text { "If } f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1} \text { hold, so does } g \text { ". }
$$

## Ideal Membership as Implication II

- Let $c$ be a condition on the input variables (in polynomial form).
- Calculate a Gröbner basis for $\left\langle c, f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}\right\rangle$ in an elimination ordering which eliminates input variables first
- The smallest elements of this Gröbner basis will be polynomials with a minimum number of input variables (if possible, none). Call them $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{r-1}$
- These polynomials are implied by the functions $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ and the condition $c$.
"If $f_{0}, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ and the condition $c$ hold, so do $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{r-1}$ "


## Ideal Membership as Implication III

■ The polynomials $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{r-1}$ generate the elimination ideal [3, p.256]

$$
I \bigcap \mathbb{F}_{2}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m-1}\right]
$$

■ This means: all on the output bits that are implied by $f$ under condition $c$ are combinations of $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{r-1}$

■ If we pick the term ordering right, $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{r-1}$ have minimal degree.

For a given function $f$ under a precondition $c$ you can calculate all conditions on the output bits that must hold.

## Ideal Membership as Implication IV

Some example applications:
Differential: Given two parallel executions of a block cipher round and an input differential: What conditions on the output hold with probability 1 ?
Integral: Given many parallel executions of a block cipher round and a condition on the inputs: What conditions on the output hold with probability 1 ?

## A Small Example I

- Consider the 4-bit S-Box of Present [5]:

$$
S=(12,5,6,11,9,0,10,13,3,14,15,8,4,7,1,2) .
$$

- Two pairs of input bits $X_{1,0}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{1,3}^{\prime}$ and $X_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, X_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}$,
- The respective output bits are $Y_{1,0}^{\prime}, \ldots, Y_{1,3}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}$.
- $S$ can be described as boolean polynomials in $Y_{i, j}$ 's and $X_{i, j}$ 's.
- Assume that we have the input difference $(0,0,0,1)$ for this S-Box; that is, we have that $X_{1,3}^{\prime}+X_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}=1$.
- We are interested in all linearly independent low degree equations in the $Y_{i, j}$ 's that must hold if this input difference holds.


## A Small Example II

■ We define $I$ to be the ideal spanned by
1 the S-Box polynomials on $X_{1, j}^{\prime}, Y_{1, j}^{\prime}$,
2 the S-Box polynomials on $X_{1, j}^{\prime \prime}, Y_{1, j}^{\prime \prime}$,
3 the set $\left\{X_{1,0}^{\prime}+X_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}, X_{1,1}^{\prime}+X_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}, X_{1,2}^{\prime}+X_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}, X_{1,3}^{\prime}+X_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+1\right\}$ and
4 the field polynomials $\left\{X_{i, j}^{2}-X_{i, j}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{i, j}^{2}-Y_{i, j}\right\}$.
■ We define a block ordering [3, p.168] where the variables $X_{i, j}$ are in the first block and the variables $Y_{i, j}$ are in the second, that is, we have that all $X_{i, j}>Y_{i, j}$.

■ Inside the second block we choose the degree lexicographical ordering (deglex) on the $Y_{i, j}$.

- We compute the reduced Gröbner basis $G$ of $I$.


## A Small Example III

All polynomials of $G$ only containing the variables $Y_{i, j}$ are listed below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{1,3}^{\prime}+Y_{1,3}^{\prime}+1, \\
& Y_{1,0}^{\prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+1, \\
& Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,1}^{\prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,1}^{\prime} Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,1}^{\prime} Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime}+Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,1}^{\prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## A Small Example IV

This list is exactly the reduced deglex Gröbner basis $G_{Y}$ for the elimination ideal

$$
I_{Y}=I \bigcap \mathbb{F}_{2}\left[Y_{1,0}^{\prime}, \ldots, Y_{1,3}^{\prime}, Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}\right] .
$$

One can show that there are no other linear or quadratic polynomial $p$ which are not a simple algebraic combination of these polynomials.

In Other Words
This list describes the relations in the $Y_{i, j}$ completely.

## Relaxations I

If we can compute the Gröbner basis $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{r-1}$, we are done.
For many functions $f$ computing $g_{0}, \ldots, g_{r-1}$ is infeasible. However, to recover some equations we might not need to compute the full Gröbner basis.

As an example consider the same S-Box and the same input difference $(0,0,0,1)$. If we only compute the Gröbner basis up to degree 2 we can still recover some properties of the $Y_{i, j}$ 's.

## Relaxations II

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{1,3}^{\prime}+Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+1, \\
& Y_{1,0}^{\prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+1, \\
& Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,1}^{\prime} Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& Y_{1,1}^{\prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime}+Y_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+Y_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} Y_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \mathbf{Y}_{1,0}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1, \mathbf{1}}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{Y}_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{Y}_{1,1}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{Y}_{1,1}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{Y}_{1,2}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& \mathbf{Y}_{1,2}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1, \mathbf{0}}^{\prime \prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,2}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{Y}_{1,2}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,1}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{Y}_{1,2}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}_{1,3}^{\prime \prime}+\mathbf{Y}_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}+\ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Discarding Wrong Pairs I

- In [1] Attack-C is proposed to discard wrong pairs.
- The attacker considers an equation system only for the rounds $>r$.
- Denote the equation system for the last $R$ rounds of the encryption of $P^{\prime}$ to $C^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ to $C^{\prime \prime}$ as $F_{R}^{\prime}$ and $F_{R}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively.
- The algebraic part of Attack-C is a Gröbner basis computation on the polynomial system

$$
F=F_{R}^{\prime} \cup F_{R}^{\prime \prime} \cup\left\{X_{r+1, i}^{\prime}+X_{r+1, i}^{\prime \prime}+\Delta X_{r+1, i} \mid 0 \leq i<B_{s}\right\} .
$$

■ Whenever the Gröbner basis is $\{1\}$ the pair can be discarded.

- No strong assurances are given about how many pairs are actually discarded by Attack-C.


## Discarding Wrong Pairs II



## Discarding Wrong Pairs III

Our approach:

- We consider the same system of equations as in Attack-C.
- But we replace the tuples of constants $C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$ by symbols.
- We then compute a Gröbner basis for an elimination ordering with $C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$ smallest.
- Thus, we recover equations in the variables $C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$.
- These equations must must evaluate to zero on the actual ciphertext values if the input difference for round $r+1$ holds.
- To estimate the quality of the filter, we can calculate the probability that all these polynomials evaluate to zero for random values for $C^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$.
- The cost of the filter is only a few polynomial evaluations average.


## Example: Present

We consider the characteristics from [9] also considered in [1] and construct filters for Present reduced to $14+R$ rounds.

We construct the polynomial ring $P=$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbb{F}_{2}[ & K_{0,0}, \ldots, K_{0,79}, & K_{1,0}, \ldots, K_{1,3}, \\
& Y_{1,0}^{1}, \ldots, Y_{1,63}, & Y_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Y_{1,63}^{\prime \prime} \\
& X_{1,0}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{1,63}^{\prime}, & X_{1,0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, X_{1,63}^{\prime}, \\
\ldots, & K_{14+R, 0}, \ldots, K_{14+R, 3}, \\
& Y_{14+R, 0}^{\prime}, \ldots, Y_{14+R, 63}^{\prime}, & Y_{14+R, 0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, Y_{14+R, 63}^{\prime \prime}, \\
& X_{14+R, 0}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{14+R, 63}^{\prime \prime}, & X_{14+R, 0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, X_{14+R, 63}^{\prime \prime} \\
C_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{63}^{\prime}, & \left.C_{0}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, C_{63}^{\prime \prime}\right]
\end{array}
$$

and attach the following block ordering:


## Example: Present II

We setup an equation system as in Attack-C of [1] except that the ciphertext bits ( $C_{i}^{\prime}$ and $C_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ ). are symbols and computed the Gröbner basis up to degree $D=3$ using PolyBoRi 0.6.3 [6] and filter out any polynomial that contains non-ciphertext variables.

For each $R$ we list the number of linear, quadratic and cubic equations we found ( $d=1,2,3$ ) and the logarithm of the approximate quality of the filter.

| $R$ | $d=1$ | $d=2$ | $d=3$ | $\approx \log _{2} p$ | comment |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 58 | 2 |  | -58.830 |  |
| 2 | 46 | 14 | 6 | -50.669 | Wang: $2^{-50.07}$ |
| 3 | 16 | 1 | 11 | -18.296 | Attack-C: $<2^{-22.00}$ |
| 4 |  |  | 16 | -3.082 | optimal: $2^{-3.35}$ |

## Example: KTANTAN32

We used the best differential for 42 rounds of KTANTAN32 [7] by the designers and extended it to 71 rounds. The characteristic has a probability of $2^{-31}$.

| $N$ | $d=1$ | $d=2$ | $d=3$ | $d=4$ | $d=5$ | $\approx \log _{2} p$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 78 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -32.0 |
| 80 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31.4 |
| 82 | 25 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31.0 |
| 84 | 20 | 32 | 4 | 32 | 0 | -29.0 |
| 86 | 16 | 46 | 23 | 75 | 106 | $<-24.0$ |
| 90 | 8 | 42 | 133 | 612 | 1762 | $<-22.0$ |
| 92 | 4 | 33 | 133 | 743 | 2646 | -20.4 |
| 94 | 1 | 25 | 124 | 662 | 2345 | -18.5 |
| 96 | 0 | 8 | 52 | 287 | 1264 | -14.3 |
| 98 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 46 | 156 | -9.1 |
| 100 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 47 | -4.6 |
| 102 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 9 | -0.9 |
| 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | -0.4 |
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## Gathering More Information I

Now we consider increasing the amount of correct data that is always suggested by a right pair.

Increasing this value usually has considerable costs attached to it
1 more data needs to be managed and thus usually the counter tables get bigger,

2 in order to generate more date, more partial decryptions must be performed which increases the computation time.

Furthermore, the number of key bits which can used might be limited by the number of rounds $R$ we can consider.

## Gathering More Information II

Our approach is to use data available from the first few rounds.
Assume for example:
1 an SP-network,
2 a differential characteristic $\Delta=\left(\Delta P, \Delta Y_{1}, \ldots, \Delta Y_{r}\right)$ valid for $r$ rounds with probability $p$,
3 a right pair $\left(P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for $\Delta$,
4 only one S -Box is active in round 1 , with input $X_{1, j}^{\prime}$ and $X_{1, j}^{\prime \prime}$, and
5 there is a key addition immediately before the S -Box operation.

## Gathering More Information III

We have

$$
S\left(P_{j}^{\prime}+K_{0, j}\right)=S\left(X_{1, j}^{\prime}\right)=Y_{1, j}^{\prime} \text { and } S\left(P_{j}^{\prime \prime}+K_{0, j}\right)=S\left(X_{1, j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=Y_{1, j}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

The polynomial equations arising from the relation

$$
\Delta Y_{1, j}=Y_{1, j}^{\prime}+Y_{1, j}^{\prime \prime}=S\left(P_{j}^{\prime}+K_{0, j}\right)+S\left(P_{j}^{\prime \prime}+K_{0, j}\right)
$$

give us a very simple equation system to solve, with only the key variables $K_{0, j}$ as unknowns.

## We can:

- recover $b$ bits of information about the key, if $\Delta Y_{1}$ holds with probability $2^{-b}$.
- replace $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ by symbols to get polynomials in $K_{0}, P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$.
- compute similar polynomials for more than one round.


## Gathering More Information IV

Assume that
1 we can indeed compute the Gröbner basis with $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ symbols for the first $q$ rounds,
2 the probability of the characteristic restricted to $q$ rounds is $2^{-b}$,
3 the Gröbner basis of $I \cap \mathbb{F}_{2}\left[K_{0}, P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}\right]$ has $m_{q}$ elements.
We have $b$ bits of additional information and thus can write

$$
S / N=\frac{2^{k+\mathbf{b}} \cdot p}{\alpha \cdot \beta}
$$

without performing any additional partial decryptions.
However, we have to perform $m_{q}$ polynomial evaluations (where we replace $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ by their actual values).

Also, this approach still has the memory overhead.

## Buckets

We can spread all pairs into $2^{b}$ buckets, labelled by the $2^{b}$ possible conditions on the key variables.

For example, for Present we have $K_{53}+K_{55}+P_{53}^{\prime}+P_{55}^{\prime}$ and $K_{54}+K_{55}+P_{54}^{\prime}+P_{55}^{\prime}$. Thus, we create $2^{2}$ buckets for each set of equations suggest by the values of $P_{53}^{\prime}+P_{55}^{\prime}$ and $P_{54}^{\prime}+P_{55}^{\prime}$.

We maintain smaller counters for each bucket independently. All right pairs for the characteristic must suggest the same equations and thus the same bucket. Pairs which do not follow the characteristic will be assigned a random bucket out of $2^{b}$ choices. We have

$$
S / N=\frac{2^{k} \cdot p}{\alpha \cdot \beta / 2^{b}}=\frac{2^{k+b} \cdot p}{\alpha \cdot \beta} .
$$

If we are allowed to choose $P^{\prime}$ in addition to $\Delta P$ we can check the buckets sequentially by picking the right combination of bits in $P^{\prime}$.

## Example: Present I

- Consider two rounds of Present and the characteristic from [9].
- Setup a polynomial ring with two blocks such that the variables $P_{i}$ and $K_{i}$ are lexicographically smaller than any other variables.
- Within the blocks choose a degree lexicographical term ordering.
- Setup an equation system and add the linear equations suggested by the characteristic.
- Compute a Gröbner basis up to degree five.


## Example: Present II

This computation returned 22 polynomials. We give a selection below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(K_{1}+P_{1}^{\prime}+1\right)\left(K_{0}+K_{3}+K_{29}+P_{0}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right), \\
& \left(K_{2}+P_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(K_{0}+K_{3}+K_{29}+P_{0}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime}\right), \\
& K_{1} K_{2}+K_{1} P_{2}^{\prime}+K_{2} P_{1}^{\prime}+P_{1}^{\prime} P_{2}^{\prime}+K_{0}+K_{1}+K_{3}+K_{29}+P_{0}^{\prime}+P_{1}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{5}+K_{7}+P_{5}^{\prime}+P_{7}^{\prime}$,
$K_{6}+K_{7}+P_{6}^{\prime}+P_{7}^{\prime}$,
$K_{53}+K_{55}+P_{53}^{\prime}+P_{55}^{\prime}$,
$K_{54}+K_{55}+P_{54}^{\prime}+P_{55}^{\prime}$
This system gives 8 bits of information about the key. The first two rounds of the characteristic pass with probability $2^{-8}$.

## Example: NOEKEON I

We consider one round of NOEKEON [2] with

$$
\Delta X_{1}=00000000000010200000008000010181
$$

and

$$
\Delta Y_{1}=00000081000101010000102000000000
$$

based on the best differential provided by the NOEKEON designers.
The first round differential holds with probability $2^{-14}$. Consequently, we recover 14 linear polynomials.

## Example: KTANTAN32

We consider the first 24 rounds of KTANTAN32 and the previously mentioned characteristic. We computed the full Gröbner basis. This computation recovers 39 polynomials of which we list the 8 smallest non-redundant below. Note that the characteristic also imposes restrictions on the plaintext.
$\left(P_{19}^{\prime}+1\right)\left(P_{3}^{\prime} P_{8}^{\prime}+P_{10}^{\prime} P_{12}^{\prime}+K_{3}+K_{53}+P_{7}^{\prime}+P_{18}^{\prime}+P_{23}^{\prime}\right)$,
$P_{8}^{\prime} P_{10}^{\prime} P_{19}^{\prime}+K_{8} P_{19}^{\prime}+P_{3}^{\prime} P_{8}^{\prime}+P_{6}^{\prime} P_{19}^{\prime}+P_{10}^{\prime} P_{12}^{\prime}+P_{16}^{\prime} P_{19}^{\prime}+K_{3}+K_{53}+\ldots$,
$P_{19}^{\prime} P_{22}^{\prime}+K_{1}+K_{11}+P_{6}^{\prime}+P_{11}^{\prime}+P_{17}^{\prime}+P_{21}^{\prime}+P_{26}^{\prime}$,
$P_{23}^{\prime} P_{26}^{\prime}+K_{65}+P_{21}^{\prime}+P_{25}^{\prime}+P_{30}^{\prime}$,
$P_{1}^{\prime}+1, P_{2}^{\prime}, P_{5}^{\prime}+1, P_{9}^{\prime}+1$
These eight equations give up to four bits (depending on the value of $P_{19}^{\prime}$ ) of information about the key.
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## Conclusion

- We demonstrated cryptographic applications of Gröbner basis algorithms beyond polynomial system solving ${ }^{1}$.
- Using the rich algebraic structure of Gröbner bases we compute properties for various block ciphers which can be used to improve "classical" differential cryptanalysis attacks.
- The techniques proposed and used in this work are not limited to differential cryptanalysis.

[^0]Thank you!
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of course, we are not the first to notice that, cf. [8]

